UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,833	12/05/2003	Todd D. Wakefield	5136	8543
64100 DANIEL P. M	7590 10/25/2007	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 715:	50	DAYE, CHELCIE L		
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84171-0550			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

T	>
]

Notice of Non-Compliant

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/729,833	WAKEFIELD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Chelcie Daye	2161		

⊘Amenament (37 CFR 1.121)			
•	Chelcie Daye	2161	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress
The amendment document filed on <u>15 August 2007</u> is concequirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amenics) is required.	onsidered non-compliant because nendment document to be complia	it has failed to mant, correction of	eet the the following
HE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE A 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include B. New paragraph(s) should not be under C. Other	markings. rlined.	m/-	2
2. Abstract:A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37B. Other	CFR 1.72. SUPERVISO	APU MOFIZ ORY PATENT BX	AMINE
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identifie "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 C B. The practice of submitting proposed dishowing amended figures, without ma C. Other 	CFR 1.121(d). rawing correction has been elimin	ated. Replaceme	ent drawings
 ✓ 4. Amendments to the claims: ☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is ☐ B. The listing of claims does not include t ☐ C. Each claim has not been provided with of each claim cannot be identified. No number by using one of the following s (Previously presented), (New), (Not er ☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper h ☑ E. Other: See Continuation Sheet. ☐ 5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or new terms of the sunsigned or new terms. 	the text of all pending claims (incluing the proper status identifier, and a pite: the status of every claim must status identifiers: (Original), (Currentered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawe) ave not been presented in ascendance.	as such, the indiv it be indicated afte ently amended), (wn-currently ame ding numerical or	ridual status er its claim Canceled), ended).
or further explanation of the amendment format require	su by 57 Crit 1.121, see Wir Er g	714.	
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTIC	DE:		
Applicant is given no new time period if the non-co filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.	t the non-compliant after-final ame	al amendment or endment with corr	an amendmen rections, the
Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, where correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of (including a submission for a request for continued amendment filed within a suspension period under 3 Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1, to 4, are che non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CF	of the following: a preliminary ame examination (RCE) under 37 CFR B7 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an ame ecked, the correction required is o	ndment, a non-fin 1.114), a suppler nendment filed in	nal amendment mental response to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR amendment or an amendment filed in response to		amendment is a	non-final
Failure to timely respond to this notice will resu Abandonment of the application if the non-co filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-complete.	mpliant amendment is a non-final		

amendment.

Legal Instru	ments	Examiner ((LIE),	if applicable

Continuation of 4(e) Other:

Claim 1 fails to underline the newly amended term "said" within the second limitation beginning with using linguistic characteristics.

Claim 2 fails to underline the newly amended portion of "and applying caseframes" and "application of caseframes", as found on line 3 of the claim.

Claim 11 fails to include the original phrase "combining like", which is either deleted (in which case needs to indicated with a strikethrough) or still included (in which case needs to be added back into the claim).

Claim 15 fails to include the original phrase "interpreting the free text to", which is either deleted (in which case needs to be indicated with a strikethrough) or still included (in which case needs to be added back into the claim).